1,60

Lawrence J. Dreyfuss, Bar No. 76277
Bruce W. Dannemeyer, Bar No. 107243
THE DREYFUSS FIRM
A Professional Law Corporation
7700 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 710
Irvine, California 92618
(949) 727-0977; Facsimile (949) 450-0668

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
NOV 3 0 2011

Attorneys for defendant WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. JOHN A CH

BY E. LEON, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, EAST DISTRICT

CABRERA, MIGUEL A.,	) Case No.: KC 062236
70.1.100	) Judge Salvatore Sirna
Plaintiff,	) Department G
UNIVERSAL AMERICAN MORTGAGE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA; WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; and all persons or entities unknown, claiming any legal or	) NOTICE OF HEARING ON DEMURRER ) OF DEFENDANT WELLS FARGO BANK, ) N.A. TO COMPLAINT; DEMURRER TO ) COMPLAINT; MEMORANDUM OF ) POINTS AND AUTHORITIES
equitable right, title, estate, lien or interest in	)
the property described in this Complaint	) DATE: January 31, 2012
adverse to Plaintiff's title, or any cloud upon	
Plaintiff's title thereto; and DOES 1 through 25,	) DEPT: G )
Defendants.	

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 31, 2012 at 8:30 a.m. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard in Department G of the Los Angeles County Superior Court located at 400 Civic Center Plaza, Pomona, California, a hearing will be held on the demurrer of defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. to plaintiff's complaint.

The demurrer will be based upon this notice, the accompanying demurrer and memorandum of points and authorities, the pleadings on file in this action, including the

: 07/30/11 03:37:28 p

DEMURRER TO COMPLAINT

1	Complaint, and upon such further evidence and argument as may be presented at or before the		
2	hearing.		
3	DATED: November 29, 2011 THE DREYFUSS FIRM		
4	a professional law corporation		
5	D. Frank		
6	By: BRUCE DANNEMEYER		
7	Attorneys for defendant WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

## DEMURRER TO COMPLAINT

Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo") demurs to the complaint on the following grounds:

 The only cause of action, for quiet title, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Wells Fargo. (Code Civ. Proc., § 430.10, subd. (e)).

DATED: November 29, 2011

l

THE DREYFUSS FIRM a professional law corporation

By:

BRUCE DANNEMEYER

Attorneys for defendant WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.

aus

# MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF DEMURRER TO COMPLAINT

#### FACTS

This action seeks to eliminate the deed of trust on plaintiff's home on the grounds the loan was securitized, i.e. packaged with other loans and sold on Wall Street. Plaintiff is not in foreclosure. Plaintiff hopes to own his home free and clear without paying off the mortgage.

Plaintiff obtained the loan in question from Wells Fargo in December 2005. (Complaint, ¶11.) No foreclosure is pending. (Complaint, unnumbered paragraph, p. 2, lines 4-7.) The complaint alleges the deed of trust securing the Wells Fargo loan has been securitized. (Complaint, unnumbered paragraph, p. 2, line 28 – p. 3, line 4.) As a result of the securitization, "any and all rights, interests and title has been extinguished, relinquished, and or detached as to any and all [deeds of trust], documents, or alleged secured instruments referenced herein." (Complaint, unnumbered paragraph, p. 3, lines 5-7.) Plaintiff alleges that because of the securitization, there is no current holder of a valid deed of trust. (Complaint, ¶12.) None of the parties claiming any rights under a deed of trust have enforcement rights against plaintiff or his home. (Complaint, ¶13.) Plaintiff claims his home is free of any deed of trust. (Complaint, ¶15.) He seeks to quiet title. (Complaint, prayer for relief, p. 7.)

### ARGUMENT

# SECURITIZATION DOES NOT AFFECT THE VALIDITY OF A DEED OF TRUST.

Securitization is a process by which loans are packaged for sale to investors on Wall Street in much the same manner as stock. (See generally, *Bank of America Corp. v. Superior Court* (2011) 198 Cal.App.4th 862, 865.) It has been blamed for the artificial inflation, then precipitous decline in property values. It has been characterized as a fraudulent scheme intended to bilk investors and borrowers. (*Id.* at pp. 865-866.) It has been the centerpiece of attempts to enjoin foreclosures. (*Ibid.*)

California courts, however, have universally rejected efforts stop foreclosure because of securitization. In fact, those lawsuits have been dismissed at the pleading stage. In Bank of America Corp. v. Superior Court, supra, the appellate issued a writ directing the trial court to

sustain a demurrer without leave to amend. With respect to securitization, the court held the lender "had no independent duty to disclose to its borrowers its alleged intent to defraud its investors by selling them mortgage pools at inflated values." (*Id.* at pp. 872-873.) In *Robinson v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.* (2011) 199 Cal.App.4th 42, 46, the court affirmed the sustaining of a demurrer without leave to amend, citing *Gomes v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.* (2011) 192 Cal.App.4th 1149 for the proposition that concerns about securitization and the inability to identify the holder of a deed of trust, as a matter of law, do not give rise to a cause of action challenging the right to foreclose. A demurrer also was sustained without leave to amend in *Fontenot v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.* (2011) 198 Cal.App.4th 256, 272, which centered its challenge of the lender's right to foreclose on securitization and the lender's use of MERS (Mortgage Electronic Registration System), which facilitated the transfer of interests in deeds of trust and prevented a borrower from knowing who owned the loan.

No California court has recognized plaintiff's only theory for relief. Securitization does not invalidate a deed of trust. As all courts that have considered this issue in the recent past have done, this Court should sustain the demurrer without leave to amend.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 29, 2011 THE DREYFUSS FIRM, plc

BRUCE DANNEMEYER

Attorneys for defendant WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE (By Mail) (CCP Section 1013a(3))

I am over the age of 18, and I am not a party to the within action. I am employed by THE DREYFUSS FIRM. PLC, in the County of Orange, at 7700 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 710, Irvine, CA 92618.

On November 29, 2011, I served the attached: Notice of hearing on demurrer of defendant Wells Fargo Bank to Complaint, Demurrer on the interested parties in this action by placing true copies thereof in sealed envelopes, addressed as follows:

Al West

Law Offices of West & Associates 700 N. Pacific Coast Highway, Ste. 201 Redondo Beach, CA 90277 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

[X] (By Mail) I placed said envelopes for collection and mailing, following ordinary business practices, at the business offices of THE DREYFUSS FIRM, PLC at the address set forth above, for deposit in the United States Postal Service. I am readily familiar with the practice of THE DREYFUSS FIRM, PLC for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and said envelopes will be deposited with the United States Postal Service on said date in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

[ ] (By Facsimile Transmission) I served the above-described document on the interested parties in this action by sending a true copy thereof by facsimile transmission pursuant to California rules of Court, Rule 2009(i)2, from facsimile machine number (949) 450-0668. The facsimile machine I used complied with California Rules of Court, Rule 2003(3), and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to Rule 2009(i)4, I caused the machine to print a transmission record of the transmission

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. I declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the above is true and correct.

Executed on November 29, 2011, at Irvine, California.

2,21

K&L GATES LLP

10100 Santa Monica Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: 310.552.5000

Facsimile: 310.552.5001

Robert E. Feyder (SBN 130688) Kevin S. Asfour (SBN 228993)

Attorneys for Defendant Universal American Mortgage Company Of California FILED LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

NOV 29 2011
JOHN A CLARKE, CLERK
BY B. FONSECA, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

POMONA COURTHOUSE (SOUTH)

CABRERA, MIGUEL A.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

UNIVERSAL AMERICAN MORTGAGE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. KC 062236

DEFENDANT UNIVERSAL AMERICAN MORTGAGE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA'S ANSWER BY DISCLAIMER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

[Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 761.030(b)]

Assigned to Hon. Salvatore Sirna

CHECK: 395 CASH; CHANGE; CARD; RECEIPT #: POM489441003
DATE PAID: 11/29/11 08:48:51
PAYMENT: \$395.00

\_\_\_

RECYCLED PAPER

UNIVERSAL AMERICAN MORTGAGE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA'S ANSWER BY DISCLAIMER

LA-503660 vI

COMES NOW Defendant Universal American Mortgage Company of California ("UAMC"), for itself and no others, and answers Plaintiff's Complaint as follows: Without admitting any of Plaintiff's allegations in the Complaint, UAMC hereby states that it presently holds no interest in the property at issue in the Complaint, and thus, pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 761.030(b), disclaims any interest in the subject property and agrees to be bound by any judgment herein regarding right, title or interest in such property, provided that no fees, costs, expenses, damages or other forms of relief are awarded against UAMC. UAMC reserves the right to seek leave of Court to amend this Answer upon a relevant change in circumstances or discovery of new facts. K&L GATES LLP Dated: November 22, 2011 By: Robert E. Feyder Keyin S. Asfour Attorneys for Defendant Universal American Mortgage Company Of California 

#### VERIFICATION

I have read the foregoing DEFENDANT UNIVERSAL AMERICAN MORTGAGE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA'S ANSWER BY DISCLAIMER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT and know its contents. I am a Vice President of Universal American Mortgage Company of California and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground maintain that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: November 22, 2011

Reolita (Kim) C. Paray, Esq.

1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2	I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the	
3	within action. My business address is K&L GATES LLP, 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Seventh	
4	Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067. On November 28, 2011, I served the following document(s) by the	
5	method indicated below:	
6		
7	DEFENDANT UNIVERSAL AMERICAN MORTGAGE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA'S ANSWER BY DISCLAIMER TO PLAINTIFFS' FIFTH AMENDED COMPLAINT	
8		
9	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as set forth below. I	
10	for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that	
11	same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business.	
12	Al West, Esq. Law Offices of West & Associates	
13	700 N. Pacific Coast Hwy. #201 Redondo Beach, CA 90277	
14	Redolido Beacii, CA 90277	
15	I declare and by the first of the second sec	
16	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is	

e above is true and correct. Executed on November 28, 2011, at Los Angeles, California.

Karin Reinhart

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	POS-010	
Ancir D. West, Esq.	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
700 N. Pacific Coast Hwy, Suite 201		
Redondo Beach, CA 90277	FILED	
TELEPHONE NO.: 310-374 4141 FAX NO. (Continue): 310-372 4137	TOO HE RELIED	
17,000, 310-374 1131	LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	TANOT POOK!	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Miguel A. Cabrera	1 April 2 1 2014	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	OCT 3 1 2011	
STREET ADDRESS: 400 CIVIC Center Plaza	MANA CHADUC SUM	
MAILING ADDRESS: 400 Civic Center Plaza	OHNA STARKE, CLERK	
CITY AND ZIP CODE Pomona, CA 91766	Delica-	
BRANCH NAME East District - Pomona Courthouse	BY B. FONSECA, DEPUTY	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Miguel A. Cabrera	CASE NUMBER:	
DESENDANT/DEGROUPEUT III	KC062236	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Universal American Mortgage Company, et al	KC002230	
	Ret. No. or File No :	
PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS	No. W. D. Pie No.	
(Separate proof of service is required for each party	convod.)	
<ol> <li>At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.</li> </ol>	serveu.)	
I served copies of:		
a. ✓ summons		
- Sompani		
<ul> <li>c. ✓ Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) package</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>d.</li></ul>		
e cross-complaint		
the state of the s		
f. other (specify documents): Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and State	ement of Location; Notice of Lis Pendens:	
Attachment # 1.  3. a Party served (specify name of party as shown on documents served):		
Universal American Mortgage Company of California		
astrage Company of Camorina		
b. Person (other than the party in item 3a) served on behalf of an entity or as a under item 5b on whom substituted service was made) (case) for a service was made).		
under item 5b on whom substituted service was made) (specify name and re	n authorized agent (and not a person	
Maria Sanchez, authorized agent to accept service of process	nationship to the party named in item 3a):	
Address where the party was served:		
910 W. 741 Company was served:		
818 W. 7th Street, Second Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017  I served the party (check proper box)		
- Tourved the party (Check proper box)		
<ul> <li>a.  by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 receive service of process for the party. (1) on (data): 10/35/2011.</li> </ul>	to the party or person authorized to	
	(2) at (time): 1:52 pm	
b by substituted service. On (date):	left the desired to the second	
in the presence of (name and title or relationship to person indicated in item	left the documents listed in item 2 with or	
to porcon indicated in Ren	13).	
(1) (business) a person at least 19 years of any		
- Person at least 10 years of annaronly in char	ge at the office or usual place of business	
of the person to be served. I informed him or her of the general in	nature of the papers	
(2) (home) a competent member of the household (at least 40)	and papere.	
The second of the House House has been as the second	s of age) at the dwelling house or usual	
eneral party. I mornied min or her or the general	nature of the papers	
(3) [ [physical address unknown) a person at least 18 years of ago	Opportunity in the same	
(3) [ (physical address unknown) a person at least 18 years of age apparently in charge at the usual mailing address of the person to be served, other than a United States Postal Service post office box. I informed		
him or her of the general nature of the papers.	usial Service post office box. I informed	
gorierd nature of the papers.		
the distributed (by lifst-class, postage prepaid) conies of the	documents to the person to be served	
Proc. § 415.2	0). I mailed the documents on	
or L	a declaration of mailing is attached	
(5)   l attach a declaration of diligence stating actions taken first to	etternt personal continuity is attached.	